

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,573	04/25/2001	Naoto Arai	10873.706US01 7468	
7	7590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.			WILLS, MONIQUE M	
P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Milineapons,	VIII 33402 0703		1746	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$
Advisory Action	09/842,573	ARAI ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	~ <del></del>
	Monique Wills	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Circles	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amonhe shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropent of the fee. The appropensionally set in the final C	n. See MPEP  priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	•
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
NOTE:	/- ) -		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	*	(C ) Class	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered ar wor appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Ü	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4- 5</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b)☐ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10.  ☐ Other:	FRANKIE L. STINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3400	Monique Wills	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that each individual container does not contain a plurality of cells. However, Fig. 2 illustrates a container with a plurality of cells made of multiple anodes, cathodes and interposing separators..